AASHTO-Research Advisory Committee, Region 3 **Meeting Minutes**

Date	03-07-2017	Time	2:30 pm (Eastern)	
Facilitator	Rick Kreider	Secretary	Ryan Culton for Megan	
			Swanson	
Subject	Research Advisory Committee (RAC) Region 3 Meeting			
Attendees	Member states attending:			
	Illinois –Ryan Culton, Karen Waters and LaDonna Rowden			
	Indiana – N/A			
	Iowa – N/A			
	Kansas – Rick Kreider & Marie xxx			
	Michigan – Steve Bower			
	Minnesota – Linda Taylor			
	Missouri – Bill Stone, Jennifer Harper & Renee McHenry			
	Ohio – Cynthia Jones			
	Wisconsin – N/A			

Key	Points Discussed		
No.	Topic	Highlights	
1.	Review Agenda/Roll Call	Roll call attendance marked above.	
2.	Transportation Knowledge Network (TKN)	Rick gave an overview of the TKN issue. Curtis Bradley has stepped down as chair. Dale Peabody (RAC Chair) has asked for each region to give feedback if there is a change that needs to be made with TKN. Rick asked the member states participating to give pros, cons and comments.	
		Ohio: Pros: It is beneficial to have a diverse group of librarians, researchers and other RAC members to keep up to date with issues that each group has.	
		Cons: It's hard to follow the conversations because it is very library science centric and it is difficult to understand the nomenclature. Felt that the group was slowing and not much was coming out in terms of results.	
		Missouri: Pros: TKN provides a good and different venue where multiple stakeholders can talk about needs of information and knowledge transfer. Suggested that the TKN may not be a task force, but rather a working group.	
		Cons: There is a leadership vacuum. There is some overlap with other groups such as LIST and National TKN. There is no movement at NTKN since there is no director named for the National Transportation Library.	
		Comment: Could you have a librarian as a RAC committee member? Believes that TKN should be a part of research and RAC in some capacity or else we could continuity between library and research.	
		Minnesota: Pros: TKN works and is valuable if there is a strong leader.	
		Cons: MN didn't get much out of the task force early on. There is a very different nomenclature and issues. Engineers and researchers had a hard time relating. It can be hard for RAC members who are not librarians to participate and get value out of the discussions.	

Key	Key Points Discussed				
No.	Торіс	Highlights			
		Michigan: Con: Too much overlap between TKN and Coordination and Collaboration (C&C) Task Force.			
		Comment: Suggested consolidating with C&C and get more librarians involved in the Task Force and sub-group.			
		Kansas: Pros: Believes that TKN should stay, but needs a good leader. The TRB LIST community and NTKN are good networks, but there needs to be a source inside of AASHTO to keep up to date with what's going on.			
		Cons: Agrees that there is a leadership vacuum. As a result there aren't regular meetings with neither Regional TKN members nor the National Transportation Library.			
		Illinois: Pros: Agrees that TKN should stay. IL DOT's librarian disseminates a lot of useful information through TKN. TKN helps librarians stay up to date with what's going on in AASHTO. This is helpful due to short staff and busy schedules.			
		Cons: IL does not agree that Collaboration & Coordination is complete aligned with TKN. Agrees that there is a lack of leadership.			
		Comment: Consider talking to Collaboration & Coordination task group and see if they agree to a merger and if TKN's mission aligns with their goals.			
		General comments & summary: Renee McHenry stated that Enid White might be interested in being a leader of the TKN task force. Enid is not a RAC member. Jen Harper (MO) and Renee McHenry (MO) are RAC members.			
		Karen Waters (IL) suggested having TKN focus on broader topics and not just librarian topics would make it easier for people to participate and to counter the nomenclature issue.			
		Rick Kreider (KS) suggests that library and research go hand in hand.			
		Summary: Region 3 supports continuing a collaboration mechanism for library and TKN in the future.			
3.	High Value Research (HVR)	Bill Stone (MO) gave a brief overview of the upcoming high value research submittals.			
		Bill is working with Mania Tran on a webinar for the new system and how to input submittals. Bill will be sending out a link for the webinar soon.			
		The supplemental brochures are to be discussed by the Value of Research group on March 8 th , 2017. CTC & Associates will be developing the brochures for the Sweet 16 and Supplemental projects.			
		Linda Taylor (MN) reiterated that a pooled fund, in particular Clear Roads, could be submitted by a state if the State implemented projects or practices from the pooled fund or any pooled fund.			
		HVR submittals due March 31 st , 2017			

Key	Key Points Discussed		
No.	Topic	Highlights	
4.	New Business	Linda Taylor (MN) posed a question of whether pooled funds could be used to update standards and videos of a geometric design tool created from a pooled fund. She also asked if there could be more guidance from the FHWA on what pooled fund activities are eligible for SP&R funds. Linda alerted the group that a new pooled fund solicitation for the Improvements to Carbon Estimator (ICE) is now posted. The general consensus from the group was that guidance from local FHWA office is how most DOT's deal with eligibility questions. Missouri also pointed out that it is possible to create a pooled fund that is not SP&R funded and that only state money is used. Cynthia (OH) gave an update on RAC Region 3 email inquiry last week	
		about their local FHWA office.	
16.	Next Conference Call	Tuesday, May 9th, 2017 1:30 p.m 3:00 p.m. Central; 2:30 p.m 4:00 p.m. Eastern	

Action Items					
No.	Action Item	Owner	Target Date		
1.	Will be sending out 2017 RAC Region 3 Collaboration Call invitations.	Cynthia	March 8, 2017		
2.	Bill Stone will be sending out email invite for HVR Webinar	Bill Stone	March 2017		